Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Study About Hand Hygiene Among Medical Students at University of Cyberjaya, Malaysia

Azmi Kamaruddean¹, Aishah Zahidah Shahrim², Nuryn Jasllyna Jolly³, Rishikaa Sunthara Segar⁴, Shukiman Ismail⁵

^{1,2,3,4}Faculty of Medicine, University of Cyberjaya, Malaysia

Corresponding Author: Azmi Kamaruddean

DOI: https://doi.org/10.52403/ijshr.20230209

ABSTRACT

Background: Hand Hygiene is one of the preventive measures to reduce the health careassociated infection. Medical students spend significant time in hospital during their clinical training thus they are required to have good awareness and compliance towards hand hygiene.

Aim: To assess the level of knowledge, attitude and practice on hand hygiene among medical students.

Materials & Methods: An online crosssectional study was conducted on 290 medical students who were selected through convenience sampling. The scores obtained were categorized into good, moderate and poor. Data analysis was done using Jeffreys' Amazing Statistics Program.

Result: The study showed that 58.6% of respondents have moderate knowledge towards hand hygiene, 69.3% of respondents have a good attitude towards hand hygiene and 41.4% of respondents have moderate practice towards hand hygiene.

Conclusion: Educational interventions can be implemented to resolve the lack of knowledge and practice of hand hygiene among medical students.

Keywords: KAP, Hand Hygiene, Medical Students, Malaysia

INTRODUCTION

Hand Hygiene (HH) is a general term that applies to either handwashing, antiseptic hand wash, antiseptic hand rub, or surgical hand antisepsis.^[1] Meanwhile, Health careassociated infection (HCAI) are infections that are acquired whether within 48 hours of hospital admission or within 30 days after receiving health care.^[2]

HCAIs are a major source of morbidity and mortality and are the second leading cause of death worldwide ^[3] with HH being an important countermeasure for reducing HCAI.^[4] It only takes about 20 seconds to maintain proper HH and these 20 seconds can make a huge difference in the risk of transmitting infections. ^[5] Yet, Healthcare professionals' compliance on HH is poor, and most attempts to increase it have been unsuccessful.^[6]

HCAI is common in Malaysia with the prevalence rate of 6.1%.^[7] To add on, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) also stated that approximately 1 in 31 hospital patients experience HCAI on any given day.^[8] The pathogens that can cause these illnesses have also developed multi-drug resistance organisms (MDRO) worldwide.^[9] Evidently, HH remains a universal strategy for preventing transmission of MDRO.^[10]

Medical students are also involved in the healthcare system and spend a significant amount of time in the hospitals. During their clinical training, they are exposed to many harmful microorganisms in the hospital wards, which are most likely to be contaminated. These students have the

potential to transmit HCAIs to both patients and healthcare worker.^[11]

By conducting this research, we hope not only to enhance the knowledge about HH but to also raise awareness on the attitude and the practice of it.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted among medical students studying Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery (MBBS) at University of Cyberjaya (UOC) from Year 1 to Year 5 and aged at least 18 years old. The respondents were selected through convenience sampling.

Data was collected through an online survey which was distributed on several social media platforms. The questionnaire was an adaptation of two validated sources ^[12-13] that consist of four sections which were sociodemographic characteristics, knowledge on HH, attitude on HH and practice on HH. Correct knowledge, positive attitude and positive practice were awarded with 1 point each. The maximum points obtainable for Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) are 20, 8, and 9 respectively. The points obtained would be classified into three categories: >75% is considered good, 50-74% is considered moderate, and <50% is considered poor. Statistical tests that were used include the chi-square test and logistic regression to determine the association between years of study with HH and evaluate the differences between gender with HH.

RESULT

A total of 290 respondents participated in this study with a response rate of 86.8%.

	Frequency, n	Percentage, %
Knowledg	e Status	
Good	118	40.7
Moderate	170	58.6
Poor	2	0.7
Attitude S	tatus	
Good	201	69.3
Moderate	53	18.3
Poor	36	12.4
Practice S	tatus	
Good	99	34.1
Moderate	120	41.4
Poor	71	24.5

Table 1: KAP status of HH among respondents

Table 1 shows that majority of the respondents has achieved moderate status on knowledge of HH (58.6%), good attitude towards HH (69.3%) and moderate practice towards HH (41.4%).

No.	Question	Year	Correct, n	Incorrect, n	P-
			(%)	(%)	value
1	Health-care workers' hand when not clean is the main route of cross-transmission	1	56 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.461
	of potentially harmful germs between patients in health-care workers	2	60 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
		3	77 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
		4	62 (98.4%)	1 (1.6%)	
		5	34 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
2	Germs already present on or within the patient is the most frequent source of	1	54 (96.4%)	2 (3.6%)	0.226
	germs responsible for healthcare-associated infections	2	57 (95.0%)	3 (5.0%)	
		3	72 (93.5%)	5 (6.5%)	
		4	55 (87.3%)	8 (12.7%)	
		5	33 (93.4%)	1 (6.6%)	
For the	he following statements on hand rub and hand wash				
3	Hand rubbing causes skin dryness more than hand washing	1	18 (32.1%)	38 (67.9%)	0.068
		2	10 (16.7%)	50 (83.3%)	
		3	21 (27.3%)	56 (72.7%)	
		4	14 (22.2%)	49 (77.8%)	
		5	3 (8.8%)	31 (91.2%)	
4	Hand rubbing is more effective against germs than hand washing	1	37 (66.1%)	19 (33.9%)	0.008
		2	41 (68.3%)	19 (31.7%)	
		3	46 (59.7%)	31 (40.3%)	
		4	40 (63.5%)	23 (36.5%)	
		5	11 (32.4%)	23 (67.6%)	
5	Hand washing and hand rubbing are recommended to be performed in sequence	1	10 (17.9%)	46 (82.1%)	0.072
		2	12 (20.0%)	48 (80.0%)	
		3	25 (32.5%)	52 (67.5%)	1
		4	22 (34.9%)	41 (65.1%)]
		5	6 (17.6%)	28 (82.4%)	

Table 2: Knowledge of HH among respondents according to year of study

6	The minimal time needed for cleaned hand out to bill most some on your	1	45 (90 40/)	11(10.60/)	0.244
0	The minimal time needed for alcohol-based hand rub to kill most germs on your hands is 20 seconds	1 2	45 (80.4%) 48 (80.0%)	11 (19.6%) 12 (20.0%)	0.244
	hands is 20 seconds	3	57 (74.0%)	20 (26.0%)	-
		4	56 (88.9%)	7 (11.1%)	-
		5	29 (85.3%)	5 (14.7%)	
Reg	I urding the preference of hand hygiene method	5	2) (03.370)	5 (14.770)	1
7	Hand rubbing is better than hand washing before palpation of the abdomen	1	37 (66.1%)	19 (33.9%)	0.773
	There is a second main many washing service parparton of the according	2	45 (75.0%)	15 (25.0%)	0.775
		3	55 (71.4%)	22 (28.6%)	
		4	47 (74.6%)	16 (25.4%)	
		5	26 (76.5%)	8 (23.5%)	
8	Hand rubbing is better than hand washing before giving an injection	1	37 (66.1%)	19 (33.9%)	0.417
		2	36 (60.0%)	24 (40.0%)	
		3	48 (62.3%)	29 (37.7%)	
		4	45 (71.4%)	18 (28.6%)	
		5	26 (76.5%)	8 (23.5%)	
9	Hand washing is better than hand rubbing after visible exposure to blood	1	48 (85.7%)	8 (14.3%)	0.065
		2	46 (76.7%)	14 (23.3%)	
		3	70 (90.9%)	7 (9.1%)	
		4	58 (92.1%)	5 (7.9%)	
		5	31 (91.2%)	3 (8.8%)	
Whie	ch of the following hand hygiene actions prevents transmission of germs to the patien	-	() = () = () ()	0 (01070)	
10	Before touching a patient	1	53 (94.6%)	3 (5.4%)	0.080
-	0 ·· 1 ·· · · ·	2	58 (96.7%)	2 (3.3%)	
		3	77 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
		4	63 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
		5	34 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
11	Immediately after risk of body fluid exposure	1	53 (94.6%)	3 (5.4%)	0.354
	miniculately after flox of body fland exposure	2	58 (96.7%)	2 (3.3%)	0.551
		3	69 (89.6%)	8 (10.4%)	
		4	61 (96.8%)	2 (3.2%)	
		5	32 (94.1%)	2 (5.9%)	
12	After exposure to immediate surroundings of a patient	1	7 (12.5%)	49 (87.5%)	0.192
12	After exposure to minediate surroundings of a patient	2	6 (10.0%)	54 (90.0%)	0.172
		3	8 (10.4%)	69 (89.6%)	_
		4	3 (4.8%)		-
		5	0 (0.0%)	60 (95.2%)	_
12	Turne distale hafens a slave (source house	-	· · · /	34 (100.0%)	0.122
13	Immediately before a clean/aseptic procedure	1 2	51 (91.1%)	5 (8.9%)	0.122
			58 (96.7%)	2 (3.3%)	_
		3	75 (97.4%) 63 (100.0%)	2 (2.6%)	_
		4 5		0 (0.0%)	-
177-:	 -16.4 6.11	-	32 (94.1%)	2 (5.9%)	
	ch of the following hand hygiene actions prevents transmission of germs to the health	care wo		5 (8 00/)	0.454
14	Before touching a patient	1	51 (91.1%)	5 (8.9%) 8 (13.3%)	0.434
		2	52 (86.7%)		-
		3	72 (93.5%)	5 (6.5%)	-
		4	58 (92.1%)	5 (7.9%)	-
. ~		5	33 (97.1%)	1 (2.9%)	0.627
15	Immediately after risk of body fluid exposure	1	54 (96.4%)	2 (3.6%)	0.637
		2	59 (98.3%)	1 (1.7%)	_
		3	73 (98.4%)	4 (5.2%)	_
		4	62 (98.4%)	1 (1.6%)	_
		5	32 (94.1%)	2 (5.9%)	0.51
6	After exposure to immediate surroundings of a patient	1	3 (5.4%)	53 (94.6%)	0.715
		2	2 (3.3%)	58 (96.7%)	4
		3	2 (2.6%)	75 (97.4%)	4
		4	2 (3.2%)	61 (96.8%)	4
		5	0 (0.0%)	34 (100.0%)	
7		1	48 (85.7%)	8 (14.3%)	0.351
	Immediately before a clean/aseptic procedure	2	54 (90.0%)	6 (10.0%)	4
		3	73 (94.8%)	4 (5.2%)	4
		4	59 (93.7%)	4 (6.3%)	4
		5	32 (94.1%)	2 (5.9%)	1
Reg	rding likelihood of colonization of hands with harmful germs		1	1	
	Wearing jewellery should be avoided because it is associated with an increased	1	52 (92.9%)	4 (7.1%)	0.120
		2	53 (88.3%)	7 (11.7%)	_
	likelihood of colonization of hands with harmful germs		66 (85.7%)	11 (14.3%)	
	likelihood of colonization of hands with harmful germs	3			
	likelihood of colonization of hands with harmful germs	3 4	59 (93.7%)	4 (6.3%)	
	likelihood of colonization of hands with harmful germs				
18 19	likelihood of colonization of hands with harmful germs Artificial fingernails should be avoided because it is associated with an increased	4	59 (93.7%)	4 (6.3%)	0.472

		3	71 (92.2%)	6 (7.8%)	
		4	62 (98.4%)	1 (1.6%)	
		5	33 (97.1%)	1 (2.9%)	
20	Regular use of a hand cream should be avoided because it is associated with an	1	25 (44.6%)	31 (55.4%)	0.003
	increased likelihood of colonization of hands with harmful germs	2	26 (43.3%)	34 (56.7%)	
		3	41 (53.2%)	36 (46.8%)	
		4	36 (57.1%)	27 (42.9%)	
		5	6 (17.6%)	28 (82.4%)	

Table 2 shows that the year 2 students have the most correct knowledge on 'hand rubbing is more effective against germs than hand washing' with a percentage of 68.3%(p=0.008). About 57.1% of year 4 students have the correct knowledge on 'regular use of a hand cream should be avoided because it is associated with an increased likelihood of colonization of hands with harmful germs' (p=0.003).

Table 3: Attitude towards HH among respondents accordin	ng to yea	r of study	
	Year	Yes. n (%)	Γ

No.	Question	Year	Yes, n (%)	No, n (%)	Р-
					value
1	I adhere to correct hand hygiene practices at all times by WHO and CDC	1	50 (89.3%)	6 (10.7%)	< 0.001
	guidelines for hand hygiene	2	53 (88.3%)	7 (11.7%)	
		3	63 (81.8%)	14 (18.2%)	
		4	60 (95.2%)	3 (4.8%)	
		5	15 (44.1%)	19 (55.9%)	
2	I lack proper hand hygiene practices because no living examples (that is, healthcare	1	14 (25.0%)	42 (75.0%)	<.001
	providers) are performing them as well	2	16 (26.7%)	44 (73.3%)	
		3	16 (20.8%)	61 (79.2%)	
		4	10 (15.9%)	53 (84.1%)	
		5	23 (67.6%)	11 (32.4%)	
3	Sometimes I have more important things to do than hand hygiene	1	17 (30.4%)	39 (69.6%)	< 0.001
		2	25 (41.7%)	35 (58.3%)	
		3	15 (19.5%)	62 (80.5%)	
		4	13 (20.6%)	50 (79.4%)	
		5	24 (70.6%)	10 (29.4%)	
4	Wearing gloves reduces the need for hand hygiene	1	19 (33.9%)	37 (66.1%)	< 0.001
		2	27 (45.0%)	33 (55.0%)	
		3	16 (20.8%)	61 (79.2%)	
		4	16 (25.4%)	47 (74.6%)	
		5	23 (67.6%)	11 (32.4%)	
5	I feel frustrated when others omit hand hygiene	1	46 (82.1%)	10 (17.9%)	0.301
		2	49 (81.7%)	11 (18.3%)	
		3	59 (76.6%)	18 (23.4%)	
		4	51 (81.0%)	12 (19.0%)	
		5	32 (94.1%)	2 (5.9%)	
6	I am reluctant to ask others to engage in hand hygiene	1	19 (33.9%)	37 (66.1%)	< 0.001
		2	33 (55.0%)	27 (45.0%)	
		3	16 (20.8%)	61 (79.2%)	
		4	12 (19.0%)	51 (81.0%)	
		5	22 (64.7%)	12 (35.3%)	
7	I feel guilty if I omit hand hygiene	1	51 (91.1%)	5 (8.9%)	0.113
		2	48 (80.0%)	12 (20.0%)	
		3	61 (79.2%)	16 (20.8%)	
		4	57 (90.5%)	6 (9.5%)	
		5	31 (91.2%)	3 (8.8%)	
8	I only practice hand hygiene in the presence of other health workers	1	4 (7.1%)	52 (92.9%)	< 0.001
		2	7 (11.7%)	53 (88.3%)	
		3	9 (11.7%)	68 (88.3%)	
		4	6 (9.5%)	57 (90.5%)	
		5	17 (50.0%)	17 (50.0%)	

Table 3 indicates that 95.2% students in year 4 'adhere to correct HH practices at all times by WHO and CDC guidelines for hand hygiene' (p<0.001). Meanwhile, 67.6% of the year 5 students 'lack proper hand hygiene practices because no living

examples are performing them as well' (p<0.001). Around 70.6% of the year 5 students 'sometimes have more important things to do rather than hand hygiene', (p<0.001). About 67.6% of students from year 5 thinks that 'wearing gloves reduces

the need for hand hygiene' (p<0.001). A significant percentage of students from year 5 (64.7%) are 'reluctant to ask others to engage in HH' (p<0.001). Lastly, half of

year 5 students (50%) 'only practice HH in the presence of other health workers' (p < 0.001).

No.	Question	Year	Yes, n (%)	No, n (%)	P- value
1	Sometimes I miss out hand hygiene simply because I forget it	1	33 (58.9%)	23 (41.1%)	0.138
		2	37 (61.7%)	23 (38.3%)	
		3	37 (48.1%)	40 (51.9%)	
		4	35 (55.6%)	28 (44.4%)	
		5	25 (73.5%)	9 (26.5%)	
2	I follow the steps of hand washing thoroughly	1	37 (66.1%)	19 (33.9%)	0.110
		2	31 (51.7%)	29 (48.3%)	
		3	42 (54.5%)	35 (45.5%)	
		4	44 (69.8%)	19 (30.2%)	
		5	24 (70.6%)	10 (29.4%)	
3	It is difficult for me to attend hand hygiene courses due to time pressure	1	27 (48.2%)	29 (51.8%)	0.002
		2	27 (45.0%)	33 (55.0%)	
		3	32 (41.6%)	45 (58.4%)	-
		4	18 (28.6%)	45 (71.4%)	-
		5	24 (70.6%)	10 (29.4%)	-
4	The frequency of hand hygiene required makes it difficult for me to carry	1	25 (44.6%)	31 (55.4%)	0.004
-	it out as often as necessary	2	29 (48.3%)	31 (51.7%)	0.001
		3	30 (39.0%)	47 (61.0%)	-
		4	25 (39.7%)	38 (60.3%)	-
		5	26 (76.5%)	8 (23.5%)	-
5	I use hands as an aid to wipe the dust around me	1	21 (37.5%)	35 (62.5%)	0.002
5	T use hands as an ard to wipe the dust around me	2	29 (48.3%)	31 (51.7%)	0.002
		3	33 (42.9%)	44 (57.1%)	-
		4	31 (49.2%)	32 (50.8%)	-
		5	27 (79.4%)	7 (20.6%)	-
6	I use handkerchief to wipe sweat and sneeze	1	39 (69.6%)	17 (30.4%)	0.075
0	T use handkerenner to wipe sweat and sheeze	2	42 (70.0%)	17 (30.4%)	0.075
		3	45 (58.4%)	32 (41.6%)	-
		4			-
		5	40 (63.5%)	23 (36.5%)	-
7	I much much and hafe as and after fair director	-	29 (85.3%)	5 (14.7%)	0.160
7	I wash my hands before and after food intake	1 2	53 (94.6%)	3 (5.4%)	0.160
			57 (95.0%)	3 (5.0%)	-
		3	76 (98.7%)	1 (1.3%)	-
		4	63 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
0	· · · · ·	5	34 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.014
8	I own a separate paper hand towel	1	39 (69.6%)	17 (30.4%)	0.014
		2	35 (58.3%)	25 (41.7%)	-
		3	39 (50.6%)	38 (49.4%)	_
		4	32 (50.8%)	31 (49.2%)	_
		5	27 (79.4%)	7 (20.6%)	
9	I use the common soaps or sanitizers provided in canteen and common	1	47 (83.9%)	9 (16.1%)	0.374
	toilets	2	52 (86.7%)	8 (13.3%)	4
		3	67 (87.0%)	10 (13.0%)	4
		4	59 (93.7%)	4 (6.3%)	
		5	32 (94.1%)	2 (5.9%)	

Table 4: Practice of HH among respondents according to yea	r of study
Table 4. I factice of fiff among respondents according to yea	n or study

Table 4 states that year 5 found it 'difficult to attend hand hygiene courses due to time pressure' (70.6%) (p=0.002). Roughly 76.5% of the year 5 students also states that 'the frequency of hand hygiene required makes it difficult for them to carry it out as

often as necessary' (p=0.004). About 79.4% students from year 5 'use hands as an aid to wipe the dust around them' (p=0.002). Majority of the year 5 students (79.4%) 'own a separate paper hand towel' (p=0.014).

	Frequency		freeences among medical students in KAP of HH					
Parameter	Male,	Female	OR (95% CI)	P-Value				
	n (%)	n (%)						
Hand rubbing	is more effectiv	e against germs than	hand washing					
Correct	42 (43.3%)	133 (68.9.0%)	0.34 (-1.570.561)	< 0.001				
Incorrect	55 (56.7%)	60 (31.1%)						
Regular use of	a hand cream s	hould be avoided be	cause it is associated with an increased likelihood of	f colonization of hands with				
harmful germs	8							
Correct	34 (35.1%)	100 (51.8%)	0.50 (-1.190.19) 0.007	0.007				
Incorrect	63 (64.9%)	93 (48.2%)		0.007				
I adhere to con	rect hand hygie	ne practices at all tir	nes by WHO and CDC guidelines for hand hygiene					
Yes	68 (70.1%)	173 (89.6%)	0.27 (-1.940.67)	< 0.001				
No	29 (29.9%)	20 (10.4%)						
	20 1		ving examples (that is, healthcare providers) are per	forming them as well				
Yes	36 (37.1%)	43 (22.3%)	2.06 (0.19 – 1.26)	0.007				
No	61 (62.9%)	150 (77.7%)		0.007				
		tant things to do tha	n hand hygiene					
Yes	41 (42.3%)	53 (27.5%)	1.93 (0.15 – 1.17)	0.011				
No	56 (57.7%)	140 (62.5%)		0.011				
Wearing glove		ed for hand hygiene						
Yes	41 (42.3%)	60 (31.1%)	1.62 (-0.02 - 0.99)	0.059				
No	56 (57.7%)	133 (38.9%)		0.009				
I am reluctant	to ask others to	engage in hand hygi	ene					
Yes	46 (47.4%)	56 (29.0%)	2.21 (0.29 - 1.30)	0.002				
No	51 (52.6%)	137 (71.0%)		0.002				
21	20	n the presence of oth	er health workers.	1				
Yes	28 (28.9%)	15 (7.8%)	4.82 (0.89 - 2.26)	< 0.001				
No	69 (71.1%)	178 (92.2%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.001				
			s due to time pressure	1				
Yes	47 (48.5%)	81 (42.0)	1.30 (-0.23 – 0.75)	0.294				
No	50 (51.5%)	112 (58.0)		0.274				
1 2	10		difficult for me to carry it out as often as necessary	1				
Yes	56 (57.7%)	79 (40.9%)	1.97 (0.18 – 1.17)	0.007				
No	41 (42.3%)	114 (59.1%)	1.57 (0.10 1.17)	0.007				
	I use hands as an aid to wipe the dust around me							
Yes	63 (65.0%)	78 (40.4%)	2.73 (0.50 – 1.51)	< 0.001				
No	34 (35.0%)	115 (59.6%)	2.15 (0.50 1.51)					
	te paper hand to			1				
Yes	50 (51.5%)	122 (63.2%)	0.62 (-0.97 - 0.02)	0.056				
No	47 (48.5%)	71 (36.8%)		0.050				

Table 5: Gender differences among medical students in KAP of HH

Table 5 shows that less males answered correctly (43.3%) "Hand rubbing is more effective against germs than hand washing" compared to females (68.9%) (p<0.001) (OR=0.34). Lesser percentage of males (35.1%) answered correctly with regards to the use of hand cream in HH as compared to females (51.8%) (p=0.007) (OR=0.50). Fewer males (70.1%) always adhere to correct HH practices according to the guidelines compared to females (89.6%) (p<0.001) (OR=0.27). Greater percentage of males (37.1%) agreed that they lack proper HH practices due to no living examples compared to females (22.3%) (p=0.007) (OR=2.06). Higher percentage of males said that they have more important things to do than HH (42.3%) compared to females (31.3%) (p=0.011) (OR=1.93). Greater percentage of males (57.7%) stated that

"wearing gloves does not reduce the need for HH" compared to females (38.9%) (p=0.059) (OR=1.62). Males are more "reluctant to ask others to engage in HH" (47.4%) compared to females (29.0%)(p=0.002)(OR=2.21). Males (28.9%)agreed that they only practice HH in the presence of other healthcare workers compared to females (7.8%) (p<0.001). (OR=4.82). More males (57.7%) stated yes to "the frequency of HH required makes it difficult for me to carry it out as often as necessary" compared to females (40.9%) (p=0.007) (OR=1.97). "I use hands as an aid to wipe dust around me", greater percentage of males said yes (65.0%) compared to females (40.4%) (p<0.001) (OR=2.73).

DISCUSSION

Our results have revealed that 40.7% of medical students had good knowledge, 69.3% had good attitude and 34.1% had good practice towards HH. Our findings of good attitude towards HH were better but lacking in terms of good knowledge and practice than the similar study done in Malaysia.^[14] Despite being our respondents had undergone formal education on HH, this shows that basic health education does not always achieve the expected level in the HH compliance as necessary for the clinical practice. This is because majority of them either did not follow or forgot to follow protocols in practice.^[15] HH training sessions may need to be conducted more for medical students with frequently continuous monitoring and performance feedback to encourage them to follow correct HH practices.^[13] Additionally, it has also been observed that the practice to HH increases with consistent training.

Majority of the participants achieved moderate knowledge in terms of HH which was consistent to a previous study.^[16] The students were able to comprehend that hand rubbing was more effective against germs compared to hand washing. The effectiveness of hand rubbing was further median percentage proven when the reduction in the bacterial contamination was significantly higher when compared to the effectiveness of hand washing.^[17]

Similarly, the lack of proper HH practice observed in UOC medical students were agreeing with the results of another study.^[18] The results of poor attitude towards HH were caused by lack of living examples such as healthcare professionals performing HH practices during their clinical attachments to hospitals. Based on these findings, we can conclude that healthcare professionals' role is very crucial to influence good attitudes toward HH among medical students as lack of role models for HH could be considered as a possible barrier to apply correct HH measures.^[19] Hence, healthcare professionals must display accurate HH

practice and encourage students to follow effectively.

Furthermore, the practice of HH result was congruent with another study^[20] where the majority of participants had only achieved moderate HH practice. The practice of HH habits can be affected by the amount of knowledge one has regarding HH.^[13] This can be seen in a study which reported that nursing students who had a significantly better knowledge of HH compared to the medical students, also had better practice of HH.^[13] We can see a similar pattern here with medical students at UOC who scored moderately with both knowledge and practice. Therefore, including more HH courses in their syllabus is important to improve the practice of HH among the medical students at UOC.

Generally, in all three domains, the preclinical year students (Year 1 & Year 2) had better KAP when compared to the clinical year students (Year 3 to Year 5). Our finding is inconsistent to a similar study conducted which reported that as they advanced their medical schooling, they inclined towards greater KAP.^[12] Our findings are due to our respondents being taught on HH when they were only in preclinical years. As they advance to clinical vears, those students do not receive enough education on how to apply proper HH in practice.^[21] To add on, more of the year 5 students reported that it was difficult to practice HH due to time pressure and they had more important things to do than HH. This is due to their heavy workload and obstacles to perform HH such as wards.^[22] overcrowded Another study reported that HH compliance declined among physicians as they reached higher levels in the medical hierarchy.^[22] The lack of proper HH performed by the healthcare workers could lead to the poor attitude observed among clinical year students.

In relation to gender, female had better KAP when compared to male. Female respondents having better knowledge on HH than males were consistent with a study done in Hong Kong.^[24] It is unclear why

such difference exists, since information about it is lacking to support this claim. Albeit, there were several studies that revealed no difference in knowledge otherwise.[25-26] Moreover, female participants having better attitude towards HH was also consistent with a similar study.^[25] Past studies have proven the importance of positive attitude towards HH.^[27] Whereby, positive attitude towards HH after patient contact were independently observed good associated with HH performance.^[28] This equates to female students having better HH practice - similar finding was observed in International Medical University, Malaysia.^[29] Higher compliance among females is linked to their inclination to practice socially acceptable behaviours.^[27] Females feel a greater need for acceptance within a group and are therefore more likely to wash their hands.^[30] The need for gender-specific educational intervention on HH is highlighted to tackle these gender differences.

CONCLUSION

Overall, the students' attitudes towards HH were good but their knowledge and practice were only moderate. This suggests that there is a need for greater emphasis on improving knowledge and practice related to HH among medical students through targeted educational interventions. Interventions could be tailored to address the unique needs of different groups of medical students, such as those in pre-clinical versus clinical years or those with different levels of prior knowledge. By implementing targeted educational interventions, medical schools can help to ensure that their students are well-prepared to protect themselves and their patients from healthcare-associated infections.

Additionally, this study highlights the need for further research on the gender differences in HH practices, as few studies have been conducted in this area. Future studies could investigate whether there are differences in KAP of HH between male and female medical students, and explore potential underlying reasons for any observed differences. This could lead to more effective and targeted interventions to improve HH practices among both male and female medical students, ultimately leading to better patient outcomes and decreased healthcare-associated infections.

Declaration by Authors

Ethical Approval: Approved

Acknowledgement: We are deeply grateful to our supervisor for his guidance and mentorship in conducting a research article. Special thanks to the lecturers of Faculty of Medicine, UOC who offered their unwavering support, encouragement and resources.

Source of Funding: None

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings: Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. MMWR 2002;51(No. RR-16).
- Haque M, Sartelli M, McKimm J, et al. Health care-associated infections – an overview. Infect Drug Resist. 2018; 11:2321-2333.
- Haque M, McKimm J, Sartelli M, et al. Strategies to Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections: A Narrative Overview. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2020; 13:1765-1780.
- 4. Hillier MD. Using effective hand hygiene practice to prevent and control infection. Nurs Stand. 2020; 35(5):45-50.
- 5. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care: a Summary. [Internet]. 2009 [updated 2009 Jan. 15; cited 2022 Nov. 14]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/W HO-IER-PSP-2009.07
- 6. Kirkland KB, Homa KA, Lasky RA, et al. Impact of a hospital-wide hand hygiene initiative on healthcare-associated infections: results of an interrupted time series. BMJ Qual Saf. 2012; 21:1019-1026.

- MOH. Point Prevalence Survey for Healthcare Associated Infection. Malaysia: Infection Control Unit, Ministry of Health. [Internet]. 2018 [updated 2021; cited 2022 Nov. 15]. Available from: https://myohar.moh.gov.my/pointprevalence-survey-pps-on-healthcareassociated-infection-antibiotics/
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). HAI and Antibiotic Use Prevalence Survey. [Internet]. 2022 [updated 2022 Feb. 25; cited 2022 Nov. 15]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/hai/eip/antibioticuse.html
- 9. World Health Organization (WHO). The evolving threat of antimicrobial resistance: options for action. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012.
- Gall E, Long A, Hall KK. Infections Due to Other Multidrug-Resistant Organisms. In: Hall KK, Shoemaker-Hunt S, Hoffman L, et al. Making Healthcare Safer III: A Critical Analysis of Existing and Emerging Patient Safety Practices. Rockville (MD): Agcy Healthc Res Qual; 2020.
- 11. Alotaibi A, Algwaiz AF, Alghamdi NA, et al. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Hand Hygiene among Clinical Year Medical Students at Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Int J Med Res Health Sci. 2019; 8(7):134-141.
- Mohesh G, Dandapani A. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Hand Hygiene among Medical Students-A Questionnaire Based Survey. Unique J Med Dent Sci. 2014; 02(03):127-131.
- Nair S, Hanumantappa R, Hiremath S, et al. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Hand Hygiene among Medical and Nursing Students at a Tertiary Health Care Centre in Raichur, India. Int Sch Res Notices. 2014; (7):608927.
- 14. Aziz A, Hanim K, Razman MM, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice on hand hygiene among clinical year medical students in Islamic institution. [Internet]. 2016 [updated 2018 May 24; cited 2022 Dec. 15]. Available from: http://irep.iium.edu.my/52473/
- Novák M, Breznický J, Kompaníková J, et al. Impact of hand hygiene knowledge on the hand hygiene compliance. Med Glas (Zenica). 2020; 17(1):194–199.

- 16. Ariyaratne MHJD, Gunasekara TDCP, Weerasekera MM, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of hand hygiene among final year medical and nursing students at the University of Sri Jayewardenepura. Sri Lankan J Infect Dis. 2013; 3(1):15-25.
- 17. Girou E, Loyeau S, Legrand P, et al. Efficacy of handrubbing with alcohol based solution versus standard handwashing with antiseptic soap: randomised clinical trial. BMJ. 2002; 325:362.
- Hamadah R, Kharraz R, Alshanqity A, et al. Hand Hygiene: Knowledge and Attitudes of Fourth-Year Clerkship Medical Students at Alfaisal University, College of Medicine, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Cureus. 2015; 7(8):e310.
- Paudel IS, Ghosh V, Adhikari P. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of nursing students regarding hand hygiene in Western region of Nepal. J Coll Med Sci-nepal. 2017; 12:169-173.
- 20. Deepak, Faujdar SS, Kumar S, et al. Hand hygiene knowledge, attitude, practice and hand microflora analysis of staff nurses in a rural tertiary care hospital. J Family Med Prim Care. 2020; 9(9):4969-4973.
- 21. Almadani SH, Kano YA, Rayyis LA, et al. Hand Hygiene Knowledge and Practice among Medical Students in King Abdulaziz University Hospital. Int J Adv Res. 2017; 5(10):515-521.
- 22. Liyanage G, Dewasurendra M, Athapathu A, et al. Hand hygiene behavior among Sri Lankan medical students during COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Med Educ. 2021; 21:333.
- 23. Graf K, Chaberny IF, Vonberg RP. Beliefs about hand hygiene: a survey in medical students in their first clinical year. Am J Infect Control. 2011;39(10):885-888.
- 24. Suen LKP, So ZYY, Yeung SKW, et al. Epidemiological investigation on hand hygiene knowledge and behaviour: a crosssectional study on gender disparity. BMC Public Health. 2019; 19:401.
- 25. Cruz JP, Cruz CP, Al-Otaibi ASD. Gender differences in hand hygiene among Saudi nursing students. Int J Infect Control. 2015; 11(4).
- 26. Zakeri H, Ahmadi F, Rafeemanesh E, et al. The knowledge of hand hygiene among the healthcare workers of two teaching hospitals in Mashhad. Electron Physician. 2017; 9(8):5159-5165.

- 27. Anderson JL, Warren CA, Perez E, et al. Gender and ethnic differences in hand hygiene practices among college students. Am J Infect Control. 2008; 36(5):361-368.
- 28. Sax H, Uçkay I, Richet H, et al. Determinants of good adherence to hand hygiene among healthcare workers who have extensive exposure to hand hygiene campaigns. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2007; 28(11):1267-1274.
- 29. Alwis WRD, Pakirisamy P, San LW, et al. A Study on Hand Contamination and Hand Washing Practices among Medical Students. Int Sch Res Notices; 2012.

 Taylor JK, Basco R, Zaied A, et al. Hand hygiene knowledge of college students. Clin Lab Sci. 2010; 23(2):89-93.

How to cite this article: Azmi Kamaruddean, Aishah Zahidah Shahrim, Nuryn Jasllyna Jolly et.al. Knowledge, attitude and practice study about hand hygiene among medical students at university of Cyberjaya, Malaysia. *International Journal of Science & Healthcare Research*. 2023; 8(2): 75-84.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.52403/ijshr.20230209
